



POLICY BRIEF

COVID-19 and Food Export Restrictions:

Comparing today's situation to the 2007/08 price spikes

Jonathan Hepburn, David Laborde,
Marie Parent, and Carin Smaller

Introduction

Twenty-two countries have responded to COVID-19 by announcing or imposing food export restrictions.¹ This has sparked concern that access to food for consumers in low-income, food-importing countries could be harmed, as happened when food prices spiked in 2007/08 and 2010/11. There are important differences between today's situation and the previous price spike episodes, as well as some similarities. This note draws on the International Food Policy Research Institute's (IFPRI's) COVID-19 Food Trade Policy Tracker² to compare how governments have reacted to the current crisis with how they did so in the past.

A different economic policy context

Global food supplies and stocks today are ample to meet demand, with agricultural commodity and oil prices at relatively low and declining levels. In contrast, in 2007/08, export restrictions exacerbated shortages on global markets, as high energy prices, biofuel blending mandates, and harvest failures in major producing countries contributed to tight markets for several staple foods. Recently, difficulties in ensuring food availability have related more to logistical challenges in ensuring smooth functioning of food supply chains as governments impose health-related restrictions reaching beyond the food sector.

¹ Export restrictions on food and agricultural products have nonetheless been less widespread than those on personal protective equipment for health sector workers.

² IFPRI's COVID-19 Food Trade Policy Tracker project is at: ifpri.org/project/covid-19-food-trade-policy-tracker.



Figure 1. Rice prices and policies, 2008–2020³



Notes: USD are current USD. * Minimum export prices.

A smaller share of globally traded calories affected

At the time of writing, IFPRI data shows that 22 countries have announced or imposed export restrictions on food in response to the COVID-19 crisis, compared to 33 in 2007/08. Looking at all announced restrictions, it is clear that a far smaller share of globally traded calories⁴ has been affected by recent measures: at around 5%, this is just over a quarter of the level affected in 2007/08. Products targeted by measures today represent nearly 227,000 billion Kcal, compared to almost 493,000 billion Kcal in 2008. Similarly, the monetary value of food and farm goods affected today represents about half that in 2007/08, at USD 21 billion rather than USD 43 billion.⁵ While in 2008 as many as nine countries decided to apply export taxes

³ Rice price data: *Rough Rice Futures, Continuous Contract #1 (RR1) (Front Month): Last Price [dataset]*. (2020, June 16). Quandl.com. https://www.quandl.com/data/CHRIS/CME_RR1. Policy data source: Laborde, D., Mamun, A. & Parent, M. (2020). *COVID-19 Food Trade Policy Tracker [dataset]*. International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). <https://www.ifpri.org/project/covid-19-food-trade-policy-tracker>; Hall, K. (2008, 22 May). How Japan helped ease the rice price crisis. *Bloomberg*. <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2008-05-22/how-japan-helped-ease-the-rice-crisis>; *businessweek-business-news-stock-market-and-financial-advice*; Timmer, C. P. (2008, July 2). Japan and a solution to the world rice crisis. *Asia-Pacific Journal*, 6(7), Article ID 2819. <https://apjif.org/-C.-Peter-Timmer/2819/article.html>.

⁴ Alternative metrics would show a greater share of calories are affected by export restrictions, as IFPRI's definition of globally traded calories is broad (including, for example, calories from alcohol) and also includes intra-European Union trade.

⁵ Value in current USD, calculated using values from 2014 to 2016.



to food products (rather than an outright ban), no country has yet done so in 2020. Taxes tend to have more manageable impacts on domestic and global markets than bans do.⁶

The changing geography of response measures

Twelve countries imposed export restrictions both in 2007/08 and 2020 (Figure 2). Another 20 imposed restrictions during the 2008 price spikes, but not more recently. Finally, nine countries that did not previously impose export restrictions have done so in response to the COVID-19 pandemic.

Table 1. Countries imposing food export restrictions in 2008 and in 2020

Imposed restrictions in 2008 and 2020		Imposed restrictions only in 2008		Imposed restrictions only in 2020
Belarus	Pakistan	Argentina	Iran	Algeria
Cambodia	Russia	Bangladesh	Jordan	Armenia
Egypt	Serbia	Bolivia	Kenya	Honduras
Kazakhstan	Syria	Brazil	Madagascar	Moldova
Kyrgyzstan	Thailand	China	Malaysia	North Macedonia
Myanmar	Ukraine	Ecuador	Nepal	Romania
		Ethiopia	Niger	South Africa
		Guinea	Sri Lanka	Turkey
		India	Tanzania	Vietnam
		Indonesia	Zambia	

Which products have been affected?

Both in 2007/08 and more recently, staple foods such as wheat and rice figure prominently among the list of products affected by food export restrictions. However, other products, such as lemons, eggs, turnips, beer, and spirits, have also been targeted. Other affected products include pulses, flour, other grains, onions, garlic, beans, milk powder, vegetable oil, cheese, and yogurt.

⁶ See, for example, Anania, G. (2013). *Agricultural export restrictions and the WTO: What options do policy-makers have for promoting food security?* ICTSD. <https://www.files.ethz.ch/isn/173336/agricultural-export-restrictions-and-the-wto-what-options-do-policy-makers.pdf>

This work was undertaken as part of the Economic Law and Policy Program of the International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD) and the CGIAR Research Program on Policies, Institutions, and Markets (PIM) led by the International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI). Funding support for this study was provided by the United Kingdom Department for International Development (DFID) and the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), including the CGIAR Research Program on Policies, Institutions, and Markets (PIM). This publication has not gone through IFPRI's standard peer-review procedure. The opinions expressed here belong to the authors, and do not necessarily reflect those of PIM, IFPRI, or CGIAR.

© 2020 The International Institute for Sustainable Development
Published by the International Institute for Sustainable Development.

INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

The International Institute for Sustainable Development (IISD) is an independent think tank championing sustainable solutions to 21st-century problems. Our mission is to promote human development and environmental sustainability. We do this through research, analysis and knowledge products that support sound policy-making. Our big-picture view allows us to address the root causes of some of the greatest challenges facing our planet today: ecological destruction, social exclusion, unfair laws and economic rules, a changing climate. IISD's staff of over 120 people, plus over 50 associates and 100 consultants, come from across the globe and from many disciplines. Our work affects lives in nearly 100 countries. Part scientist, part strategist—IISD delivers the knowledge to act.

IISD is registered as a charitable organization in Canada and has 501(c)(3) status in the United States. IISD receives core operating support from the Province of Manitoba. The Institute receives project funding from numerous governments inside and outside Canada, United Nations agencies, foundations, the private sector, and individuals.

INTERNATIONAL FOOD POLICY RESEARCH INSTITUTE

The International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), a CGIAR Research Center established in 1975, provides research-based policy solutions to sustainably reduce poverty and end hunger and malnutrition. IFPRI's strategic research aims to foster a climate-resilient and sustainable food supply; promote healthy diets and nutrition for all; build inclusive and efficient markets, trade systems, and food industries; transform agricultural and rural economies; and strengthen institutions and governance. Gender is integrated in all the Institute's work. Partnerships, communications, capacity strengthening, and data and knowledge management are essential components to translate IFPRI's research from action to impact. The Institute's regional and country programs play a critical role in responding to demand for food policy research and in delivering holistic support for country-led development. IFPRI collaborates with partners around the world.

IISD Head Office

111 Lombard Avenue, Suite 325
Winnipeg, Manitoba
Canada R3B 0T4

Tel: +1 (204) 958-7700
Website: www.iisd.org
Twitter: @IISD_news

